UPenn Data Bootcamp: Self and Peer Evaluation of Group Project

Please assess the work of you and your colleagues by using the following criteria. We will consider your feedback in assigning the grade for the project. Please try to be as honest and fair as possible in your assessment.

5 = Excellent work; was crucial component to group’s success

4 = Very strong work; contributed significantly to group  
3 = Sufficient effort; contributed adequately to group  
2 = Insufficient effort; met minimal standards of group

1 = Little or weak effort; was detrimental to group\*

\*An assessment of “1” or “0” requires a written explanation.

SELF Evaluation (Name: Palida Prasitanond):

\_4 Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_4\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_3Cooperation with other group members  
4 Interest and enthusiasm in project

4 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_4 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments: I initially proposed the idea of our project and was glad that every team member was open to the idea and willing to develop the idea further.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 1: Max\_):

\_4\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_4\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_4\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_4\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

4 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_3\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments: Max has been great with facilitating the conversation and he collaborated a lot with other team members and helped out with data cleaning process.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 2: Jayden\_):

\_4\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_4\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_4\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_4\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_4\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_4\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments: Jayden has helped a lot with organizing the plan and delegating tasks within team members. She is a true leader and led a team in the right direction.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 3: Dave):

\_\_4\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_3\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_4\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_4\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_4\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_4\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments: Dave has contributed a lot to the project. He was very enthusiastic about the project and he helped out a lot with data finding and cleaning process.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 3: Danny):

4 Participation in developing ideas and planning project

4 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
4 Cooperation with other group members  
4 Interest and enthusiasm in project

4\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

4 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments: Danny was a very easy person to work with. He helped out a lot with data cleaning process and he definitely was a true team player.

Self-Reflection:  
What did you learn from the experience?

I learned a lot about the collaboration process in coding. It definitely was a lot more complicated than I expected it to be due to different interpretation and approach to the code.

What do you think went well?

I really enjoyed getting to know my classmates better. We met on our own for a couple of times and it was definitely enjoyable connecting and collaborating with other people. In general, I think the project went very smoothly.

What would you have done differently, given the opportunity?

If there was more time and less limitation to the data, I believe that our team could try answering a more challenging questions and extract more relevant information out from the dataset.

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the project?

I wish there was more time to work on the project and more guidance on how to approach the first project.